

Summary of Day:
Moving Forward on Economic
Evaluation and Healthy Public Policy

Laurie J. Goldsmith, PhD

NCCHPP Workshop on Economic Evaluations
and Healthy Public Policy

May 6, 2009

The logo for Simon Fraser University (SFU) is located in the bottom right corner. It consists of a solid red rectangular background with the letters "SFU" in a white, bold, sans-serif font centered within it.

SFU

Reality #1: Decision-Makers

- Economic reality
 - Accentuated by dominance of health budget
- Decision-makers ask for business case
- Economic evaluation used to terminate programs as well as begin programs
- Prevention versus cure
 - Bias against healthy public policy
 - Bias against health

Reality #2: Field

- Programs are complex
- How get models to approximate complexity?
 - Incorporate qualitative measures
- False competition of prevention vs. cure
- Lacking evaluation expertise and funding, ad hoc
- Recognition that budgets & ROI are essential
- Not everything can be measured in an economic evaluation
- Fear, need to defend

Competing Values

- Expense vs. investment
- Cost neutral (or \$ producing) vs equity (weath redistribution)
- Quality of life
- Economic evaluation as paramount vs. economic evaluation as just one tool
- Quick (and sufficient) vs. long (and thorough)

Needs, Next Steps

- Support field in understanding economic evaluation
- Support field in arguing against economic evaluation
 - Particularly as sole means of evaluation
- Support field in conducting economic evaluation
 - Particularly smaller organizations

Future Report


- Definitional issues
- Include current federal, provincial and municipal policies
- How to get health valued as health not as cost neutral?
- How make sufficient decision without being perfect? Rapid assessment?
- Emphasis on relevance for practice
- Review limitations of economic evaluation

Pressing Issues For Economic Evaluation

- Not helpful – not wanting to single out particular areas
- Wary of pitting prevention against cure

Workshop #1 Summary

Tools



Decision-Making
Context

Boundaries

Values

Tools

- Need for capacity building
 - How collect evidence?
 - How assess evidence?
 - How define boundaries?
- Use and misuse of economic evaluation
- Recognizing and working around limitations
- Need to use same tools as others

Decision-Making Context

- Still Prevention vs. Cure
- Disease focus vs. policy focus
- \$ as a form of cross-communication
- Money talks, talking point
- Speak to loud voices
- Evidence only one input to decision-making
- Inherently complex

Values

- What is asked?
- Who is asked? Who gives input?
- What counts? Who counts?
- Keep doing vs. Stop doing

- Economic benefit of healthy public policy
- Investment in evaluation

Boundaries: Many “Multi”s

- Multi-level, multi-intervention
- Multi-objective
- Multi-jurisdictional
- Multi-perspectives

More Boundaries

- Definitions
- Scope
 - Generalizability vs. Local Applicability
- Simplicity vs. Complexity
- Precision vs. Balance
- Full economic evaluation vs. pieces
- Public vs. private (cost-shifting)

What's Missing

- Mental health issues
- Poverty reduction
- Early childhood interventions
- Different methods of delivering public health interventions
- Health disparities
- Social inequities

Pressing Issues

- Obesity
- Diabetes
- Injury
- Social determinants of health
- Healthy birth outcomes
- Addiction

Where To Go?

- Work on definitions
- Address emerging issues
 - Policy
 - Methodological
- Review countries using economic evaluation for health public policy
- Environmental scans
 - What has been done (burden of program)
 - What are policy makers thinking of doing?

Where To Go? (2)

- Immediate focus on quick win, strong case
- Also use historical examples to make case
- Focus on building cross-sector collaborations

- Elaborate on interventions and categories in tables
- Consider costs and benefits in tables